



Morrow County/Umatilla County Transit Development Strategy

Morrow County and Umatilla County

2018

Morrow County/Umatilla County Transit Development Strategy

Morrow County/Umatilla County

Prepared For: Oregon Department of Transportation 3012 Island Avenue La Grande, OR 97850 (541) 963-1344

Prepared By: **Kittelson & Associates, Inc.** 610 SW Alder, Suite 700 Portland, OR 97205 (503) 228-5230

Project No. 18879

2018







TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	i
Introduction	2
Demographic Context	9
Commuting Patterns	17
Overview of Existing Public Transportation Services	27
Transit Solutions Assessment	31
Transit Development Strategies	45

Morrow County





LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1 – Morrow County and Umatilla County Study Area	9
Exhibit 2 - Survey Form of Morrow County and Umatilla County Businesses	17
Exhibit 3 – Morrow County Commuting Flow	19
Exhibit 4 – Umatilla County Commuting Flow	19
Exhibit 5 – Where Workers Live Who Are Employed in the City of Pendleton	22
Exhibit 6 – Where Workers Live Who are Employed In the City of Hermiston	23
Exhibit 7 - Where Workers Live Who Are Employed in the City of Boardman	24
Exhibit 8 – Modifications to Existing Fixed-Route Service Concept	33
Exhibit 9 – Fixed-Route Connection to Boardman/Port of Morrow (Option #1)	34
Exhibit 10 - Fixed-Route Connection to Boardman/Port of Morrow (Option #2)	35
Exhibit 11 – Small Modifications to The Loop Concept	36
Exhibit 12 – Modifications to Grant County People Mover Concept	37
Exhibit 13 – Arlington to Boardman/Port of Morrow Connection Concept	38
Exhibit 14 – Heppner-Boardman Connector Concept	39
Exhibit 15 – Pendleton – Kennewick Connector Concept	40
Exhibit 16 – Park-n-Ride Locations Concept	41

Morrow County





LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 – Population Summary for Morrow and Umatilla County 10
Table 2 – County Transit Supportive Demographic Snapshot 11
Table 3 – Adults Aged 65 ⁺ by City11
Table 4 – Disabled Population by City12
Table 5 – Persons Living in Poverty by City13
Table 6 – Workers per Household and Auto Insufficiency14
Table 7 – List of Survey Participants Providing Employee Zip Code Data
Table 8 – Where Workers Live (by County) Who Are Employed in the Selected County
Table 9 - Where Workers Live (by City) Who Are Employed in the Selected County
Table 10: Where Workers Live Who Are Employed in the Selected Morrow County City20
Table 11 – Where Workers Live Who Are Employed in the Selected Umatilla County City21
Table 12 – Assessment of Modifications to Existing Fixed-Route Service
Table 13 – Assessment of Fixed-Route Conneciton to Boardman/Port of Morrow (Option #1)
Table 14 - Assessment of Fixed-Route Conneciton to Boardman/Port of Morrow (Option #2)35
Table 15 – Assessment of Small Modifications to The Loop
Table 16 – Assessment of Modifications to Grant County People Mover
Table 17 – Assessment of Boardman/Port of Morrow Connection
Table 18 – Assessment of Heppner-Boardman Connector 39
Table 19 – Assessment of Pendleton – Kennewick Connector40
Table 20 – Assessment of Park-n-Ride Locations41
Table 21 – Transit Development Strategy Summary46





ADVISORY COMMITTEE/PROJECT ASSISTANCE

The following individuals were part of the project's advisory committee or contributed their time, expertise, and insights towards making this a better project.

Teresa Penninger, Oregon Department of Transportation
Frank Thomas, ODOT Region 5 Transit Coordinator
Carla McLane, Morrow County
Anita Pranger, The Loop
Leanne Rea, Morrow County Commissioner (retired)
Debbie Radie, Boardman Foods
Lisa Mittelsdorf, Port of Morrow
Dan Brosnan
Bob Waldher, Umatilla County
Tamra Mabbott, City of Umatilla
Laura Slater, ODOT Region 5 Transit Coordinator (former)
JD Tovey, Confederate Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation
Andrea Weckmueller-Behringer, WWVMPO/SRTPO
Mandie Bates, Cayuse Technologies
Melissa Drugge, Business Oregon
Susan Bower, Eastern Oregon Business Source
Mark Morgan, City of Hermiston
Anna Harris
Teresa Ducher/Lauren Sweeny, City of Milton Freewater
Robin Philips ODOT Region 5 Transit Coordinator (former)
Angie Jones, Grant County Transportation District
Jim Doherty, Morrow County Commissioner
Marsha Hoskins, ODOT Rail and Public Transit Division

Chapter 1 Introduction



INTRODUCTION

In 2016, Morrow County and Umatilla County adopted separate Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plans. These coordinated plans are guiding documents that outline strategies for grant distributions funded by the State of Oregon's Special Transportation Fund (STF) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 program. The goal of each coordinated plan is to improve transportation programs and services for key target populations (older adults, people with disabilities, and people with low incomes) through the identification of new transit service, enhancements to existing transit programs, improvements to the marketing of transit programs, and new technology.

While each of the coordinated plans have been prepared specific to the various needs of the individual counties, it has been noted through the planning process as well as other transportation planning efforts carried out by the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) that Morrow and Umatilla County are closely integrated from a transportation perspective. With a large number of jobs located in an around the Port of Morrow and a relatively low population base, Morrow County tends to import workers from Umatilla County and beyond creating a fairly significant employment-based commuting profile. With more geographically dispersed employment centers and a larger population base, Umatilla County not only imports jobs from neighboring counties, but experiences a significant amount of intra-county employment commuting to the various employment centers.

Building upon the efforts outlined in the two Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plans, the Morrow County/Umatilla County Transit Development Strategy seeks to develop a broader range of transit solutions that will better address the larger inter- and intra-county transportation needs of workforce participants, seniors, people with disabilities, and lower incomes.

The Morrow County/Umatilla County Transit Development Strategy is divided into six chapters, as outlined below:

- Chapter 1 Introduction
- Chapter 2 Summarizes the transit supportive demographic profiles of Morrow County and Umatilla County.
- Chapter 3 Summarizes the employment-based commuting profiles of Morrow County and Umatilla County
- Chapter 4 Briefly summarizes the public and private transit providers that operate in Morrow and Umatilla County.
- Chapter 5 Identifies and evaluates the potential transit service strategies.
- Chapter 6 Presents a set of prioritized strategies for Morrow County, Umatilla County, and the various transit service providers to improve transit accessibility within and between the two counties.







This page intentionally blank.

Chapter 2 Demographic Context





DEMOGRAPHIC CONTEXT

This chapter provides a demographic profile of Morrow and Umatilla County's key target populations for transit usage.







MORROW COUNTY/UMATILLA COUNTY POPULATION

Table 1 documents the share of population in each of the major Morrow County and Umatilla County cities relative to the total county population. As shown, Boardman is the most populous city in Morrow County while Hermiston is the most populous city in Umatilla County. As large predominately rural counties, both have a relatively high percentage of their population living in unincorporated areas.

	Morrow County			Umatilla County	
City	2015 Population Estimate	%	City	2015 Population Estimate	%
Boardman	3,320	30%	Athena	1,069	1%
Heppner	1,151	10%	Echo	734	1%
lone	255	2%	Hermiston	17,121	22%
Irrigon	2,217	20%	Milton-Freewater	7,089	9%
Lexington	189	2%	Mission	970	1%
Unincorporated	4,072	36%	Pendleton	16,882	22%
Total Morrow County	11,204	100%	Pilot Rock	1,476	2%
			Stanfield	2,241	3%
			Umatilla	6,999	9%
			Weston	723	1%
			Unincorporated	21,434	28%
			Total Umatilla County	76,738	100%

Table 1 – Population Summary for Morrow and Umatilla County

Source: 2015 American Community Survey 5-year Population Estimates

TRANSIT SUPPORTIVE DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

This section provides an overview of the transit supportive demographic characteristics of both counties based on data from the 2010-2015 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimate dataset. This data is useful to illustrate geographic areas with concentrations of population groups that face particular mobility challenges.

Table 2 provides a "snapshot" of the presence of the four population groups of interest for Morrow County and Umatilla County: older adults (persons over 65 years old), persons with disabilities, persons in poverty, and zero car households. Compared to the entire State of Oregon, both Morrow and Umatilla County have a higher percentage of persons with some sort of disability while the percentage of zero car households is slightly lower than the state overall. Additional data for each of the major cities within the two counties are documented in the following sections.



Table 2 – County Transit Supportive Demographic Snapshot

	Total Population	% Persons Aged 65+	% Persons w/ Disabilities	% Persons in Poverty	% Zero Car Households
Oregon	3,939,233	15%	7%	10%	8%
Morrow County	11,204	14%	7%	8%	3%
Umatilla County	76,738	14%	7%	8%	8%

Source: American Community Survey 2010-2015 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates

Older Adults

Table 3 lists the percentage of the population aged 65 years and older for individual cities in both Morrow and Umatilla County. Compared to Umatilla County, Morrow County has considerably fewer adults aged 65+, but the percentage of the total population is roughly equal. Within Umatilla County, the City of Pendleton has the highest number of adults aged 65+, accounting for approximately 3% of the total county population.

Table 3 – Adults Aged 65⁺ by City

	Morrow	/ County			Umatilla Co	ounty	
City	2015 Population Estimate Aged 65+	% of City Population	% of Morrow County Population	City	2015 Population Estimate Aged 65+	% of City Population	% of Umatilla County Population
Boardman	229	7%	2.04%	Athena	185	17%	0.24%
Heppner	247	21%	2.20%	Echo	121	16%	0.16%
lone	63	25%	0.56%	Hermiston	1,975	12%	2.57%
Irrigon	234	11%	2.09%	Milton-Freewater	800	11%	1.04%
Lexington	45	24%	0.40%	Mission	78	8%	0.10%
Unincorporated	711	17%	6.35%	Pendleton	2,221	13%	2.89%
Total Morrow County	1,529		13.65%	Pilot Rock	189	13%	0.25%
				Stanfield	278	12%	0.36%
				Umatilla	440	6%	0.57%
				Weston	113	16%	0.15%
				Unincorporated	4,024	19%	5.24%
				Total Umatilla County	10,424		13.58%

Source: American Community Survey 2010-2015 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates



Persons with Disabilities

The definition of "disability" varies; for this project, information cited is consistent with definitions reported in the 2015 American Community Survey (ACS). The questions regarding disability on the 2015 American Community Survey remain unchanged from the 2008 ACS and include three questions with a total of six subparts with which to identify people with disabilities. The questions are as follows:

- 16a. Is this person deaf or does he/she have serious difficulty breathing? (yes/no)
- 16b. Is this person blind or does he/she have serious difficulty seeing even when wearing glasses? (yes/no)
- 17a. Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, does this person have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions? (yes/no)
- 17b. Does this person have serious difficulty walking or climbing stairs? (yes/no)
- 17c. Does this person have difficulty dressing or bathing? (yes/no)
- 18. Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, does this person have difficulty doing errands along such as visiting a doctor's office or shopping? (yes/no)

Table 4 lists the number of persons who are classified as disabled for individual cities in both Morrow and Umatilla County. Within Morrow County, 14 percent of the City of Heppner's population is classified as having some sort of disability. The City of Boardman has the highest disabled population accounting for approximately 1.5 percent of the total county population. Within Umatilla County, the City of Pendleton has the highest disabled population accounting for approximately 1.6 percent of the total county population.

	Morrow	County			Umatilla	County	
City	2015 Disabled Population Estimate	% of City Population	% of Morrow County Population	City	2015 Disabled Population Estimate	% of City Population	% of Umatilla County Population
Boardman	168	5.06%	1.50%	Athena	104	10%	0.14%
Heppner	161	13.99%	1.44%	Echo	76	10%	0.10%
lone	20	7.84%	0.18%	Hermiston	879	5%	1.15%
Irrigon	115	5.19%	1.03%	Milton-Freewater	524	7%	0.68%
Lexington	3	1.59%	0.03%	Mission	90	9%	0.12%
Unincorporated	358	8.79%	3.20%	Pendleton	1,243	7%	1.62%
Total Morrow County	825		7.36%	Pilot Rock	142	10%	0.19%
				Stanfield	222	10%	0.29%
				Umatilla	435	6%	0.57%
				Weston	53	7%	0.07%
				Unincorporated	1,723	8%	2.25%
				Total Umatilla County	5,491		7.16%

Table 4 – Disabled Population by City

Source: American Community Survey 2010-2015 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates



Persons in Poverty

The U.S. Census defines residents according to the Poverty Status Index, which is based on income and household size. Table 5 lists the percentages of the population in poverty for individual cities in Morrow and Umatilla County. Within Morrow County, the City of Boardman has the highest number of persons in poverty accounting for approximately 3.5 percent of the total county population. Within Umatilla County, the City of Hermiston has the highest number of persons in poverty accounting for approximately 2 percent of the total county population.

Table 5 – Persons Living in Poverty by City

	Morrow Cou	nty			Umatilla Cou	unty	
City	2015 Population Estimate for Persons in Poverty	% of City Population	% of Morrow County Population	City	2015 Population Estimate for Persons in Poverty	% of City Population	% of Umatilla County Population
Boardman	396	12%	3.53%	Athena	125	12%	0.16%
Heppner	102	9%	0.91%	Echo	63	9%	0.08%
lone	15	6%	0.13%	Hermiston	1,591	9%	2.07%
Irrigon	135	6%	1.20%	Milton-Freewater	1,052	15%	1.37%
Lexington	0	0%	0.00%	Mission	151	16%	0.20%
Unincorporated	239	6%	2.13%	Pendleton	1,345	8%	1.75%
Total Morrow County	887		7.92%	Pilot Rock	113	8%	0.15%
				Stanfield	151	7%	0.20%
				Umatilla	384	5%	0.50%
				Weston	28	4%	0.04%
				Unincorporated	1,196	6%	1.56%
				Total Umatilla County	6,199		8.08%

Source: American Community Survey 2010-2015 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates



Vehicle Ownership

Vehicle ownership is an indicator of mobility, as access to a vehicle is a necessity in most rural communities due to relatively limited transportation options. Approximately five and seven percent of households do not have access to a vehicle in Morrow and Umatilla Counties, respectively.

A common metric that is used to evaluate the likelihood of residents using transit is "auto insufficiency"; that is, whether there is more than one worker per vehicle available. Table 6 shows households by the number of vehicles available and by the auto insufficiency based on the reported number of workers in the household. Compared to Umatilla County, the rate of auto insufficiency is lower in Morrow County among the 0-1 worker households, but considerably higher in the 3+ worker households.

Table 6 – Workers per Household and Auto Insufficiency

	Morrow County			Umatilla County	
Number of Workers	Percent of Total Households	Percent Auto Insufficient	Number of Workers	Percent of Total Households	Percent Auto Insufficient
0-1 workers	67%	6%	0-1 workers	65%	10%
2 workers	27%	10%	2 workers	30%	11%
3+ workers	6%	36%	3+ workers	6%	26%

Chapter 3 Commuting Patterns





COMMUTING PATTERNS

COMMUTING PROFILES

In addition to the transit supportive demographic profiles, it is also important to look at the employment-based commuting travel characteristics for each county. This information can be useful for identifying the number of workers who are traveling within and between the two counties to reach places of employment. Two sources of information were used to determine the employment commuting profiles for each county. The first source is the US Census Bureau's Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) program which provides job flow data that can be used to determine employment-based commuting profiles. The second source is a survey of major employers in Morrow and Umatilla Counties (see Table X for a list of participating employers) that was conducted as part of this project. This survey (see Exhibit 2 for a copy of the survey form) was conducted to supplement the LEHD data and provide more specific data associated with the various employment clusters that exist throughout Morrow and Umatilla County.

Exhibit 2 - Survey Form of Morrow County and Umatilla County Businesses



MORROW COUNTY/UMATILLA COUNTY TRAVEL SHED ANALYSIS BUSINESS SURVEY

Please answer the following questions to the best of your ability

- 1. Total number of full time employees at your company: _

Please provide number of employees residing in each city or zip code listed below. If the city or zip code is not listed below, please write in using one of the blank cells.

HOME CITY	HOME ZIP CODE	# OF EMPLOYEES	HOME CITY	HOME ZIP CODE	# OF EMPLOYEES
Adams	97810		North Powder	97867	
Arlington	97812		Pendleton	97801	
Athena	97813		Pilot Rock	97868	
Baker City	97814		Stanfield	97875	
Boardman	97818		The Dalles	97058	
Cove	97824		Ukiah	97880	
Echo	97826		Umatilla	97882	
Helix	97835		Union	97883	
Heppner	97836		Weston	97886	
Hermiston	97838		College Place, WA	97324	
Hood River	97031		Kennewick, WA	99336	
Ione	97843		Pasco, WA	99301	
Irrigon	97844		Richland, WA	99352	
La Grande	97850		Walla Walla, WA	99362	
Lexington	97839				
Milton-Freewater	97862				
Mission	97801				
Mosier	97040				

FILENAME: H: |18|18879 - MORROW_UMATILLA COUNTY TRANSIT STRATEGY|TASK 3|SURVEY LETTER_MC.DOCX

	begariment of Transportation busice n
4.	Does your company currently offer or organize any formal ridesharing or carpooling program for employee use? If so, please identify the program and list the number of employees who participate in the program.
5.	If transit or ridesharing service could be expanded to Umatilla and Morrow Counties, would
	your company be interested in participating with future planning of these services?
Na	your company oe interested in participating with future planning of these services?

Please send your responses directly to the following individual. Thank you

Anna Harris Oregon Regional Solutions 541-310-0339 anna j.harris@oregon.gov Eastern Oregon University 233 Badgley Hall, One University Boulevard La Grande, OR 97850





Table 7 – List of Survey Participants Providing Employee Zip Code Data

Mission Area Cluster	Hermiston Area Cluster
CTUIR	DuPont Pioneer Seed
Wildhorse Resort & Casino	City of Hermiston
Cayuse Technologies	River Point Farms
Pendleton Area Cluster	Hermiston School District
Keystone RV	Simmons Insurance Agency
City of Pendleton	Smitty's Ace Hardware
St Anthony Hospital	Good Shepherd Medical Center
BMCC	McNary Place
Umatilla County	Boardman Area Cluster
Pendleton School District	Boardman Foods
Interpath Laboratories	Umatilla Electric Coop
Milton-Freewater Area Cluster	Pacific Ethanol-Columbia
City of Milton-Freewater	Port of Morrow Warehousing
Les Schwab Milton-Freewater	City of Boardman
I-84/I-82/Westland Road Area Cluster	
Conagra Foods	
Hermiston Foods	

Where Workers Live

Table 8 summarizes the home county of the workers that are employed in Morrow and Umatilla County. From the LEHD data, approximately 63 percent of Morrow County's workforce lives outside the county with the highest proportion living in Umatilla County. This data suggests that there are more jobs in Morrow County than there are workers. For Umatilla County, only 34 percent of its workforce is imported from outside the county. Table 8 also summarizes the home county of the workers employed at the surveyed businesses. While this data is less comprehensive than the LEHD, it also points out that there is a significant amount of workforce importing going on in Morrow County with the majority of that workforce living in Umatilla County.

Table 8 – Where Workers Live (by County) Who Are Employed in the Selected County

ſ	lorrow County		Umatilla County				
Home County	LEHD %	Business Survey %	Home County	LEHD %	Business Survey %		
Morrow County, OR	37.1%	51.72%	Umatilla County, OR	66.4%	83.4%		
Umatilla County, OR	28.8%	45.38%	Walla Walla County, WA	3.7%	5.8%		
Benton County, WA	5.3%	0.53%	Benton County, WA	3.7%	3.4%		
Grant County, OR	4.1%	-	Morrow County, OR	3.5%	3.9%		
Gilliam County, OR	2.8%	-	Union County, OR	2.7%	2.3%		
Franklin County, WA	1.7%	0.5%	Multnomah County, OR	1.6%	-		
Union County, OR	1.5%	-	Grant County, OR	1.5%	-		
Multnomah County, OR	1.3%	-	Franklin County, WA	1.3%	0.5%		
Baker County, OR	1.0%	-	Baker County, OR	1.0%	0.1%		
Washington County, OR	1.0%	-	Washington County, OR	1.0%	-		
All Other Locations	15.5%	2.4%	All Other Locations	13.6%	0.7%		
Total All Jobs	100.0%	100.0%	Total All Jobs	100.0%	100.0%		





Umatilla County

Exhibit 3 – Morrow County Commuting Flow

Exhibit 4 – Umatilla County Commuting Flow



Similar data for select cities in each county are provided in the following Tables 9 through 11.

Morrov	v County	Umatilla County		
Home City	LEHD %	Home City	LEHD %	
Hermiston, OR	11.5%	Pendleton, OR	18.0%	
Boardman, OR	11.0%	Hermiston, OR	15.8%	
Irrigon, OR	6.2%	Umatilla, OR	4.9%	
Umatilla, OR	5.8%	Milton-Freewater, OR	4.5%	
Heppner, OR	3.9%	Walla Walla, WA	2.1%	
Kennewick, WA	2.7%	Kennewick, WA	1.7%	
Pendleton, OR	1.6%	La Grande, OR	1.4%	
Pasco, WA	1.5%	Stanfield, OR	1.4%	
Richland, WA	1.5%	Portland, OR	1.3%	
lone, OR	1.2%	Pilot Rock, OR	1.2%	
Lexington, OR	0.66%	Ukiah, OR	0.17%	
Unincorporated Morrow County	14.10%	Athena, OR	0.82%	
All Other Locations	38.3%	Helix, OR	0.19%	
Total All Jobs	100.0%	Echo, OR	0.57%	
		Weston, OR	0.32%	
		Adams, OR	0.19%	
		Unincorporated Umatilla County	18.32%	
		All Other Locations	27%	
		Total All Jobs	100.0%	

Table 9 - Where Workers Live (by City) Who Are Employed in the Selected County

Source: LEHD and Survey Data

Morrow County



Umatilla County

Table 10: Where Workers Live Who Are Employed in the Selected Morrow County City

City of Boardman			City of Ir		City of Heppner			
Home City	LEHD%	Business Survey %	Home City	LEHD %	Business Survey %	Home City	LEHD %	Business Survey %
Boardman, OR	15.7%	39.6%	Boardman, OR	10.7%	-	Heppner, OR	12.7%	-
Hermiston, OR	10.3%	33.5%	Hermiston, OR	10.7%	-	Hermiston, OR	5.7%	-
Irrigon, OR	8.5%	10.8%	Irrigon, OR	7.2%	-	lone, OR	4.7%	-
Umatilla, OR	6.1%	8.2%	Umatilla, OR	6.4%	-	Boardman, OR	3.8%	-
Kennewick, WA	2.2%	1.3%	Kennewick, WA	4.2%	-	John Day, OR	3.0%	-
Portland, OR	1.9%	-	Richland, WA	2.2%	-	Pilot Rock, OR	2.7%	-
Pendleton, OR	1.6%	-	Heppner, OR	2.0%	-	Lexington, OR	2.5%	-
Heppner, OR	1.2%	-	Pendleton, OR	1.7%	-	Arlington, OR	2.3%	-
Richland, WA	1.2%	0.5%	Portland, OR	1.3%	-	Irrigon, OR	2.3%	-
Arlington, OR	1.0%	-	Arlington, OR	1.2%	-	Pendleton, OR	1.9%	-
All Other Locations	50.3%	6%	All Other Locations	52.5%	-	All Other Locations	58.5%	-
Total All Jobs	100.0%	100.0%	Total All Jobs	100.0 %	-	Total All Jobs	100.0 %	-
Home County	LEHD%	Business Survey %	Home County	LEHD %	Business Survey %	Home County	LEHD %	Business Survey %
Morrow County, OR	39.7%	51.72%	Morrow County, OR	35.6%	-	Morrow County, OR	43.0%	-
Umatilla County, OR	28.7%	45.38	Umatilla County, OR	28.3%	-	Umatilla County, OR	17.6%	-
Benton County, WA	4.4%	0.53%	Benton County, WA	8.4%	-	Grant County, OR	10.4%	-
Grant County, OR	3.1%	-	Grant County, OR	3.0%	-	Gilliam County, OR	5.5%	-
Gilliam County, OR	2.7%	-	Washington County, OR	3.0%	-	Wallowa County, OR	2.1%	-
Multnomah County, OR	2.7%	-	Gilliam County, OR	2.7%	-	Baker County, OR	1.9%	-
Union County, OR	1.7%	-	Multnomah County, OR	1.7%	-	Union County, OR	1.9%	-
Baker County, OR	1.2%	-	Baker County, OR	1.5%	-	Marion County, OR	1.7%	-
Clackamas County, OR	1.1%	-	Union County, OR	1.5%	-	Wasco County, OR	1.7%	-
Cowlitz County, WA	1.0%	-	Marion County, OR	1.2%	-	Wheeler County, OR	1.3%	-
All Other Locations	14.0%	2.9%	All Other Locations	13.2%	-	All Other Locations	12.9%	-
Total All Jobs	100.0%	100.0%	Total All Jobs	100.0 %	-	Total All Jobs	100.0 %	-

Source: LEHD and Survey Data

Table 11 – Where Workers Live Who Are Employed in the Selected Umatilla County City

Hermiston			Pendleton			Milton-Freewater			Umatilla		
Home City	LEHD%	Survey %	Home City	LEHD%	Survey %	Home City	LEHD%	Survey %	Home City	LEHD%	Survey %
Hermiston, OR	33.2%	76.9%	Pendleton, OR	42.7%	-	Milton-Freewater, OR	33.0%	73.9%	Hermiston, OR	21.2%	28.1%
Umatilla, OR	8.3%	8.5%	Hermiston, OR	4.5%	23.71%	Walla Walla, WA	12.1%	13.6%	Umatilla, OR	12.4%	45.0%
Pendleton, OR	3.9%	-	La Grande, OR	2.3%	4.34%	College Place, WA	4.2%	2.2%	Pendleton, OR	6.2%	2.9%
Stanfield, OR	2.0%	5.3%	Pilot Rock, OR	1.9%	16.9%	Pendleton, OR	3.3%	-	Kennewick, WA	3.5%	8.2%
Portland, OR	1.9%	-	Milton-Freewater, OR	1.6%	13.38%	Athena, OR	2.1%	-	Boardman, OR	1.7%	.6%
Kennewick, WA	1.8%	1.0%	Umatilla, OR	1.4%	3.05%	Hermiston, OR	1.4%	-	Irrigon, OR	1.6%	5.9%
Boardman, OR	1.6%	2.3%	Portland, OR	1.3%	-	Eugene, OR	1.1%	-	Pasco, WA	1.6%	.6%
La Grande, OR	1.2%	.1%	Baker City, OR	1.0%	.23%	Weston, OR	1.0%	5.7%	Stanfield, OR	1.3%	1.8%
Pasco, WA	1.1%	.6%	Kennewick, WA	0.9%	2%	Umatilla, OR	0.8%	-	Richland, WA	1.3%	1.2%
Richland, WA	1.0%	.5%	Walla Walla, WA	0.8%	6.1%	Pasco, WA	0.8%	-	Pilot Rock, OR	1.2%	-
All Other Locations	44.1%	5.5%	All Other Locations	41.5%	30.28%	All Other Locations	40.3%	4.6%	All Other Locations	48.1%	5.8%
Total All Jobs	100.0%	100.0%	Total All Jobs	100.0%	100%	Total All Jobs	100.0%	100.0%	Total All Jobs	100.0%	100.0%
Home County	LEHD%	Survey %	Home County	LEHD%	Survey %	Home County	LEHD%	Survey %	Home County	LEHD%	Survey %
Umatilla County, OR	66.5%	92.4%	Umatilla County, OR	71.2%	79.6%	Umatilla County, OR	58.1%	79.5%	Umatilla County, OR	64.0%	82.0%
Morrow County, OR	5.0%	5.6%	Union County, OR	4.2%	5.6%	Walla Walla County, WA	20.9%	15.9%	Benton County, WA	6.8%	9.4%
Benton County, WA	4.1%	1.2%	Benton County, WA	2.0%	2.9%	Lane County, OR	1.8%	-	Morrow County, OR	5.9%	6.4%
Union County, OR	2.5%	.02%	Baker County, OR	1.7%	.2%	Grant County, OR	1.6%	-	Franklin County, WA	2.0%	.6%
Multnomah County, OR	2.3%	-	Multnomah County, OR	1.7%	-	Benton County, WA	1.3%	-	Baker County, OR	1.5%	-
Franklin County, WA	1.4%	.4%	Grant County, OR	1.7%	-	Union County, OR	1.1%	-	Union County, OR	1.4%	1.2%
Washington County, OR	1.3%	-	Morrow County, OR	1.5%	3.5%	Franklin County, WA	1.1%	-	Multnomah County, OR	1.3%	-
Grant County, OR	1.3%	-	Walla Walla County, WA	1.3%	7.4%	Wasco County, OR	0.9%	-	Grant County, OR	1.3%	-
Clackamas County, OR	1.2%	-	Washington County, OR	1.1%	-	Multnomah County, OR	0.8%	-	Jefferson County, OR	1.0%	-
Marion County, OR	1.2%	-	Clackamas County, OR	1.1%	-	Baker County, OR	0.8%	-	Washington County, OR	1.0%	-
marion councy, on		1					1	1			
All Other Locations	13.2%	.4%	All Other Locations	12.5%	.5%	All Other Locations	11.6%	4.6%	All Other Locations	13.8%	.6%

Source: LEHD and Survey Data



Exhibits 5 through 7 graphically illustrate where workers live who are employed in the selected City. This data is illustrated according to the LEHD data and the business survey data.





Source: Census on the Map LEHD



P

12

-

21

5.3

Source: Business Survey

Could St

CAL

Figure 8





Exhibit 6 – Where Workers Live Who are Employed In the City of Hermiston



ы

E



12

.

195

ы

Walla Walla Caundy

12

•

E

11

Utiliote

Where Workers Live Who are Employed in the City

204



Exhibit 7 - Where Workers Live Who Are Employed in the City of Boardman

Canaly

.

Wellesse Cettings

82

203

Figure 2

27

60

of Boardman Survey Data

Enline

Columbia Columby

Chapter 4 Overview of Existing Public Transportation Services

Morrow County



Umatilla

County

OVERVIEW OF EXISTING PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICES

The section presents an overview of existing transit service in Morrow and Umatilla County. A detailed summary has been prepared as part of their respective Coordinated Human Service Public Transportation Plans. Given the level of detail and recent publication of these documents, only a short summary of transit service is provided in the following sections.

Morrow County Transit Service Overview

- The Loop
 - Free dial-a-ride service for Morrow County residents
 - Volunteer drivers
 - Coordinated by Morrow County Transportation Coordinator
- Kayak Public Transit
 - Free transit fixed route transit service
 - Operated by Confederate Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR)
 - Serves Irrigon within Morrow County. Other service areas include Pendleton, Hermiston, Umatilla, Mission, Pilot Rock, La Grande and Walla Walls, WA.
- Client Based Service
 - Good Shepherd Health Care System
 - Medical transport to/from Good Shepherd's Hermiston medial campus
 - Serves Boardman and Irrigon (Echo, Stanfield, and Umatilla)
 - CAPECO
 - Dial a ride service for select Medicaid recipients and other individuals
 - Safe T Transport
 - For profit service select clientele in Boardman and Irrigon

Umatilla County Transit Service Overview

- Milton-Freewater Transit Service
 - Fixed route bus service and dial-a-ride service
 - Operates between Milton-Freewater and Walla Walla, WA
- Kayak Public Transit
 - Free transit fixed route transit service
 - Operated by CTUIR

Morrow County



- Service areas in Umatilla County include Pendleton, Hermiston, Umatilla, Mission, Pilot Rock, La Grande and Walla Walla, WA.
- Hermiston Taxi Voucher Program
 - Available to Hermiston residents who are seniors or have qualifying disabilities
- Pendleton Let'er Bus
 - Programs include senior taxi, daily van service, Elite Transit tickets, Aquatic Center transportation, Parks and Rec Interpark Transportation, Care-Ride
- Grant County People Mover
 - Fixed route bus service.
 - Two routes serve Umatilla County locations (Ukiah, Pilot Rock, Pendleton, Milton-Freewater) on their way to Walla Walla, WA
- Pilot Rock medical transport
- Ukiah medical transport
- Weston medical transport
- Client-Based Service
 - CAPECO
 - \circ $\,$ Dial a ride service for select Medicaid recipients and other individuals
 - Clearview Mediation and Disability Resource
 - Good Shepherd Health Care System
 - CareVan provides medical transportation for appointments at any affiliated medical provided with offices in Hermiston. Travels to Echo, Umatilla, Irrigon, and Boardman
 - Safe T Transport
 - o Dial-a-ride service for medical and private appointments
 - Various Taxi services (Umatilla Cab and Elite Taxi)

Chapter 5 Transit Solutions Analysis







Morrow County/Umatilla County Transit Development Strategies
Morrow County



TRANSIT SOLUTIONS ASSESSMENT

This chapter identifies potential transit improvements to address the existing transit and employment commuting needs.

TRANSIT NEEDS

The following list of transit needs was generated based on a review of the Morrow County and Umatilla County Coordinated Human Services Public Transportation Plans, feedback obtained from advisory committee meetings, and a review of the employment-based commuting patterns.

Transit Service

- Add transit service not just to major population centers, but to the various rural employment clusters that exist throughout Morrow and Umatilla County. Major employment clusters that should be a focus of this study include:
 - Port of Morrow
 - I-84/I-82/Westland Road interchange area
 - US 395 (south of Hermiston) industrial area
 - McNary/Port of Umatilla area
- Increase the geographic scope of fixed route transit service. Areas for consideration include:
 - City of Boardman/Port of Morrow
 - City of Arlington
 - City of Heppner/City of Lexington
 - Tri-Cities in Washington State
 - OR 11 corridor between Pendleton and Milton-Freewater/Walla Walla, WA.
- Consider the special needs of providing transit service to industrial areas and rural employment clusters.
 - Take into account employee shift patterns when considering transit service to industrial areas and employment clusters.
 - Broad service spans that accommodate the variety of work shifts that exist at many large-scale employment centers.
- Some employment clusters such as the Port of Morrow and Port of Umatilla/McNary area have a large geographic footprint. Transit service to these areas may necessitate smaller shuttle service to more efficiently serve the various businesses that are located too far from transit stops or lack adequate pedestrian facilities.



Infrastructure Needs

- Construct and integrate Park-and-Ride facilities along the I-84 corridor. Planning for Park-and-Ride facilities has already been included in the recent City of Pendleton Transportation System Plan and Mission Area Community Plan.
- Construct new pedestrian improvements to accommodate transit service in employment clusters.

Coordination and Organizational Needs

- Coordinate services that cross jurisdictional and transit provider service area boundaries.
- Coordinate services among social service agencies, senior centers, medical facilities, employers, and other organizations to share information about local transportation options, training opportunities, and other information.
- Apply technological solutions to facilitate coordination efforts.

Capital and Funding Needs

- Sustainable funding to maintain and provide for service additions and route enhancements.
- Fare subsidies for several population groups (fixed incomes, those with medical plans that don't cover transportation, for medical trips, for accompanying caregivers).

POTENTIAL TRANSIT SOLUTIONS

Projects have been developed to begin to address these transit service, infrastructure, and coordination/organizational needs. To assist in this effort, the ODOT staff used the transit planning software Remix to generate and test potential transit solutions. The following pages summarize and assess these potential solutions.



Modifications to Existing Fixed-Route Service

Several potential modifications could be considered for existing fixed-route transit service lines. The activation of additional stops along lines provided by Kayak Public Transit could significantly increase the population and jobs exposure and illustrated and summarized in Exhibit 8 and Table 12.

Exhibit 8 – Modifications to Existing Fixed-Route Service Concept



Table 12 – Assessment of Modifications to Existing Fixed-Route Service

Project	Benefit	Implementing Agency	Considerations
Activate/Increase stops along existing fixed- transit routes.	 La Grande Arrow: Population Exposure Increase 8.3%, Jobs Exposure Increase – 2.2% Walla Walla Whistler: Population Exposure Increase 8.6%, Jobs Exposure Increase 4.1% Hermiston Hopper: Population Exposure Increase 28.9%, Jobs Exposure Increase 23.6% 	Kayak Public Transit	 Transit lines receiving Section 53.11F funds limit the number of stops in each community to two stops which would lower the jobs and population exposure.



Develop a Fixed-Route Connection to Boardman/Port of Morrow (Option #1)

Establishing a fixed-route connection to Boardman/Port of Morrow would significantly improve the accessibility to a major employment cluster. One potential option involves the modification of Kayak's existing Hermiston Hopper line as illustrated in Exhibit 9 and Table 13.

Exhibit 9 - Fixed-Route Connection to Boardman/Port of Morrow (Option #1)



Table 13 – Assessment of Fixed-Route Conneciton to Boardman/Port of Morrow (Option #1)

Project	Benefit	Implementing Agency	Considerations
Develop a fixed-route connection to Boardman/Port of Morrow	 Increases population exposure by 35% and job access by approximately 29% Route modifications could include employment clusters such as the I-84/I-82/Westland Road area. 	Kayak Public Transit	 Would require a complete overhaul of the Hermiston Hopper. Increases the travel distance for the bus line from approximately 88 miles to 148 miles. Given this distance, a separate route may be more efficient.



Develop a Fixed-Route Connection to Boardman/Port of Morrow (Option #2)

A second option for establishing a fixed-route Boardman/Port of Morrow Connection would involve a completely new route that would loop along the I-84, US 730, and US 395 corridors. This option is illustrated in Exhibit 10 and summarized in Table 13.

Exhibit 10 - Fixed-Route Connection to Boardman/Port of Morrow (Option #2)



Table 14 - Assessment of Fixed-Route Conneciton to Boardman/Port of Morrow (Option #2)

Project	Benefit	Implementing Agency	Considerations
Develop a fixed-route connection to Boardman/Port of Morrow	 Does not require modification of established routes (Hermiston Hopper) Route would hit three major employment clusters (South Hermiston Industrial area, I- 84/I-82/Westland Road, Port of Morrow) 	Kayak Public Transit Port of Morrow	 Would require new bus infrastructure 65-mile loop. Not as easy/convenient to get from Irrigon to Port of Morrow.



Small Modifications to The Loop

Establishing a fixed-route connection to Boardman/Port of Morrow would significantly improve the accessibility to a major employment cluster. One potential option involves the modification of the existing Kayak Hermiston Hopper line as illustrated in Exhibit 11 and Table 15.

Exhibit 11 – Small Modifications to The Loop Concept



Table 15 – Assessment of Small Modifications to The Loop

Project	Benefit	Implementing Agency	Considerations
Modifications to existing weekly The Loop medical commitment runs that incorporate other communities	• Takes advantage of existing weekly medical appointment rips by incorporating other communities along the way such as Echo, Stanfield and Good Shepherd Medical Center in Hermiston.	The Loop	 Would increase the cost by approximately \$8,000 per year. Dependent upon weekly medical appointments by others.



Modifications to Grant County People Mover

East Umatilla County could also benefit from expanded transit coverage. The activation of additional stops along the Prairie City to Walla Walla line by the Grand County People Mover could significantly increase the population and jobs exposure and illustrated and summarized in Exhibit 12 and Table 16.

Exhibit 12 – Modifications to Grant County People Mover Concept





Table 16 – Assessment of Modifications to Grant County People Mover

Project	Benefit	Implementing Agency	Considerations
Activate/increase stops along existing route	 New stops could be provided in Pendleton (various locations, Adams, Athena, and Weston. 	Grant County People Mover	 Route only runs once a week and is not a local priority for expansion under HB 2017. Could be seen as a feeder service that supplies passengers to other fixed route lines to Walla Walla.



Arlington to Boardman/Port of Morrow Connection

Morrow County lacks any kind of transit service east of Boardman along the I-84 corridor. A new transit line connecting the City of Arlington to Boardman/Port of Morrow would significantly improve access to the employment cluster at the Port of Morrow as summarized in Exhibit 13 and Table 17.

Exhibit 13 – Arlington to Boardman/Port of Morrow Connection Concept



Table 17 – Assessment of Boardman/Port of Morrow Connection

Project	Benefit	Implementing Agency	Considerations
New transit service between Arlington and Boardman/Port of Morrow	 Regional transit connection. Increases access to jobs for an area that has been auto dependent. Reduces commuting costs and environmental impacts. 	The Loop	• Will need to work with Port of Morrow employers to identify optimal service times based on employment shift hours.



Heppner – Boardman Connector

A new transit line connecting the City of Heppner and City of Lexington to Boardman/Port of Morrow would significantly improve access to the employment cluster at the Port of Morrow as summarized in Exhibit 14 and Table 18.

Exhibit 14 – Heppner-Boardman Connector Concept



Table 18 – Assessment of Heppner-Boardman Connector

Project	Benefit	Implementing Agency	Considerations
New transit service between Heppner and Boardman/Port of Morrow	 Regional transit connection. Increases access to jobs for an area that has been auto dependent. Reduces commuting costs and environmental impacts. 	The Loop	• Will need to work with Port of Morrow employers to identify optimal service times based on employment shift hours.



Pendleton – Kennewick Connector

Reestablishing a connection to the Tri-Cities area in Washington State will significantly improve regional mobility and accessibility to jobs and services. One potential concept is illustrated in Exhibit 15 and Table 19.

Exhibit 15 – Pendleton – Kennewick Connector Concept



Table 19 – Assessment of Pendleton – Kennewick Connector

Project	Benefit	Implementing Agency	Considerations
Reestablishment of fixed- route transit service between Kennewick and Pendleton/Mission area	 Reestablishes an inter-state transit connection. Links the two largest metropolitan areas in eastern Washington and eastern Oregon. Coupled with the Hermiston-Boardman Connector, increases access to jobs and services. 	Kayak Public Transit	 Work with CTUIR, City of Pendleton, City of Stanfield, City of Umatilla, and City of Kennewick to identify specific local route and stop locations that will maximize rider convenience. Coordinate service with proposed Hermiston-Boardman Connector and existing Kayak routes.



Park-n-Ride Locations

The establishment of a network of Park-n-Ride locations along the major freeway corridors as summarized in Exhibit 16 and Table 20 could provide a more formal and structured opportunity for commuters to use regional fixed transit lines.

Exhibit 16 – Park-n-Ride Locations Concept



Table 20 – Assessment of Park-n-Ride Locations

Project	Benefit	Implementing Agency	Considerations
Establish formal Park-n- Ride locations	 Provides a more formal and structured opportunity for commuters to use regional fixed route transit lines for employment commuting. Reduces commuting costs, congestion, and environmental impacts 	Arlington, Heppner, Umatilla, Mission/CTUIR, Pendleton, Umatilla County	 Park-n-Ride facilities are currently identified in the CTUIR, Pendleton, and Heppner Transportation System Plans. Work with these jurisdictions to accelerate the design/implementation of the park-n-ride facilities.







This page intentionally blank.

Chapter 6 Priorities and Strategies



TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES

This chapter presents an overview of new transit development strategies to improve transit-based circulation within and amongst both Morrow County and Umatilla County. The strategies were generated with input from the technical advisory committee, the existing Morrow and Umatilla County Coordinated Plans, and analysis generated by the project team to address the regional transit needs.

The strategies presented below are intended to address transit needs for the larger region's employment-based commuters as well as the transit-dependent population. This is an important element of the Plan as it provides an opportunity to document regional service priorities as well as to identify lead entities responsible to implement them. Table 21 summarizes the specific transit development strategies while the following project sheets provide a detailed overview and graphical summary.





Umatilla County

Table 21 – Transit Development Strategy Summary

Project	Benefit	Potential Implementing Agency ¹	Time Frame	Priority	Annual Operating Cost
	New Transit Service Strategie	25	<u>.</u>		
Arlington-Boardman-Port of Morrow Connector	 Regional transit connection. Increases access to jobs for an area that has been auto dependent. Reduces commuting costs and environmental impacts. 	Morrow County / The Loop, or other service provider	Long-Term	Medium	\$100k- \$150k
Heppner-Boardman Connector	 Provides fixed-route transit service to auto-dependent southern Morrow County. Increases access to jobs. Reduces commuting costs and environmental impacts. 	Morrow County, The Loop, or other service provider	Near-Term	High	\$150k- \$200k
Hermiston-Boardman Connector	 Directly links the Umatilla County to Morrow County and the major employment clusters that exist along portions of the US 730, US 395, and I-84 corridors. Better integrates the Cities of Irrigon, Umatilla, Hermiston, Stanfield, and Echo to the regional employment base. Improves regional commuting for jobs and services. 	Kayak or other service provider	Near-Term	High	\$250- \$350k
Port of Morrow Circulator	 Provides localized service within the Port of Morrow. Improves access to businesses that are not centrally located within the Port of Morrow. 	The Loop / Port of Morrow or other service provider	Near-Term	High	\$150k- \$200k
Pendleton-Kennewick Connector	 Reestablishes an inter-state transit connection. Links the two largest metropolitan areas in eastern Washington and eastern Oregon. Coupled with the Hermiston-Boardman Connector, increases access to jobs and services. 	Kayak or other service provider	Near-Term	High	\$300k- \$350k
	Expanded Transit Service Strate	gies			
The Loop – Route Modification	• With increased frequency, can be used for jobs access.	The Loop	Mid-Term	Medium	\$150k- \$200k
Grant County People Mover – Increased Frequency	 Would provide access to existing regional fixed transit routes in Walla Walla and Pendleton 	Grant County People Mover	Mid-Term	Medium	\$300
	Infrastructure Strategies				
Park-N-Ride	 Reduces commuting costs, congestion, and environmental impacts for some commuters. Provides a formal and structured opportunity to use regional fixed-route transit. 		Long-Term	Low	<\$50k per Park-N- Ride
	Coordination Strategies				
Create and/or maintain a Transit Coordinator Position	 Identifies transit funding opportunities. Writes grants for new transit funding opportunities. Ensures better regional transit coordination. 	Morrow County and Umatilla County	Near-Term	High	<\$100k
Form and maintain appropriate Advisory Committees	 Assesses and disperses transit funding. Ensures better County-wide participation in transit decision making. 	Morrow County and Umatilla County	Near-Term	High	<\$50k

¹ Transit providers listed are preliminary and based on current service characteristics/trends. Formal implementation details would be determined based on the interests of local transit service providers and funding availability.





Arlin	gton-Boai	dman-P	ort of N	/lorrow Con	nector	
	Transit Depend	lent Populati	on Within ½	Mile of Route Sto	ps	
Jobs	% in Poverty	% Minority	% Aged 65-	+ % with Disability	% with No Vehicle	% Youth <18
396	30%	54.9%	7.6%	14.2%	2.5%	30.8%
 Work with locations 	n the Cities of Ar that will maximized	lington, Board ze rider conve	dman, and P enience.	ort of Morrow to ide	ntify specific rout	
Time Fram	e: Long-Term	Priority:	Medium			an?: Yes
Agency:	Morrow Count	y / The Loop o	or other serv	ice provider		
ers:	Morrow Count	y, City of Arlin	igton, City of	Boardman, Port of	Morrow	
s roundtrip	Sprog	McKent S Lake Umatilia 284	Aldre da e	Utitis ensis		ardman / to of Morrow
	Add a week Morrow (PC Provides a f employmen Jobs 396 • Secure fu • Work with locations • Work with hours. Time Frame Agency: ers:	Add a weekday fixed-route Morrow (POM). Route would Provides a regional transit of employment cluster at the P Transit Depend Jobs % in Poverty 396 30% • Secure funding and infras • Work with the Cities of Ar locations that will maximiz • Work with Port of Morrow hours. Time Frame: Long-Term Agency: Morrow Count ers: Morrow Count	Add a weekday fixed-route bus line connection for employment cluster at the Port of Morrow Transit Dependent Populati Jobs % in Poverty 396 30% 54.9% • Secure funding and infrastructure needs locations that will maximize rider converted hours. Time Frame: Long-Term Agency: Morrow County / The Loop of the secure funding and regulated the secure funding and secure	Add a weekday fixed-route bus line connecting the Cit Morrow (POM). Route would be 57-miles roundtrip and Provides a regional transit connection for work-based employment cluster at the Port of Morrow. Reduces contransit Dependent Population Within 1/2 Image: Transit Dependent Population Within 1/2 Image: Jobs % in Poverty % Minority % Aged 65 Image: Jobs % in Poverty % Minority % Aged 65 Image: Jobs % in Poverty % Minority 7.6% Image: Secure funding and infrastructure needed to begin % Work with the Cities of Arlington, Boardman, and P locations that will maximize rider convenience. % Work with Port of Morrow employers to identify optithours. Image: Worrow County / The Loop or other servers: Morrow County, City of Arlington, City of Morrow county of Arlington, City of Conceptual Route Detains: Image: Secure funding and infrastructure for the serversers: Morrow County, City of Arlington, City of Conceptual Route Detains	Add a weekday fixed-route bus line connecting the City of Arlington to the Morrow (POM). Route would be 57-miles roundtrip and would travel along. Provides a regional transit connection for work-based commutes to/from temployment cluster at the Port of Morrow. Reduces commuting costs and the post of the post of Morrow. Reduces commuting costs and the post of the post of Morrow to the post of th	396 30% 54.9% 7.6% 14.2% 2.5% • Secure funding and infrastructure needed to begin a new fixed route transit line. • Work with the Cities of Arlington, Boardman, and Port of Morrow to identify specific rout locations that will maximize rider convenience. • Work with Port of Morrow employers to identify optimal service times based on employer hours. • Work with Port of Morrow employers to identify optimal service times based on employer hours. Time Frame: Long-Term Priority: Medium Consistent with Morrow County Coordinated Human Services Plate Agency: Morrow County / The Loop or other service provider • ers: Morrow County, City of Arlington, City of Boardman, Port of Morrow Conceptual Route Details: • rips • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •<





		Heppn	er-Boar	dman (Connec	tor					
Add a weekday fixed-route bus line connecting the Cities of Heppner and Lexington to the City of Boardman/Port of Morrow (POM). Route would be 87-miles roundtrip and would travel along Highway 207, Bombing Range Road, and I-84.											
Benefit:Provides a regional transit connection that better links the major population centers of south Morrow County to the major employment clusters in north Morrow County.											
	Transit Dependent Population Within ½ Mile of Route Stops										
Population Served	Jobs	% in Poverty % Minority % Aged 65+ % with Disability % with						% Youth <18			
527	396	29.9%	54.7%	7.6%	1	4.2%	2.5%	30.8%			
 Action Items: Secure funding and infrastructure needed to begin a new fixed route transit line. Work with the Cities of Heppner, Lexington, Boardman, and Port of Morrow to identify specific route and stop locations that will maximize rider convenience. Work with Port of Morrow employers to identify optimal service times based on employment shift hours. 											
Cost: \$150- \$200k	Time Frame	e: Near-Term	Priority:	High			lorrow County an Services Pla	n?: Yes			
Implementing	Agency:	Morrow County	/ The Loop o	or other serv	ice provide	r					
Project Partne	ers:	Morrow County,	City of Hep	pner, City of	Lexington,	Port of M	orrow, City of Bo	bardman			
Route Deta - 87 milea - 10 stops - 8 trips	s roundtrip	P	oardman / oot of Morrow	Bombing Range Road	Umatilla Chemical Depot	82 Hermist	lon Bđ				



Hermiston-Boardman Connector

Description: Road employment cluster, Port of Morrow, Boardman, Irrigon, Umatilla, and McNary. Route A would connect Hermiston to Boardman via the US 395/US 730 corridors. Route B would connect Hermistor to Boardman via the US 395/I-84 corridors.		Transit Demonster Demulation Within 1/ Nile of Deute Otoms
Description: Road employment cluster, Port of Morrow, Boardman, Irrigon, Umatilla, and McNary. Route A would connect Hermiston to Boardman via the US 395/US 730 corridors. Route B would connect Hermistor	Benefit:	Links the Cities of Boardman, Irrigon, Umatilla, Hermiston, Stanfield, and Echo to employment clusters at the Port of Morrow and other employment clusters near the cities of Hermiston and Umatilla.
Add weekday fixed-route bus lines connecting the Cities of Hermiston, Stanfield, Echo, the Westlan	Description:	connect Hermiston to Boardman via the US 395/US 730 connoors. Route B would connect Hermiston

		Transit Depen	dent Populati	on Within ½ Mi	le of Route Stop	DS			
Population Served	Jobs	% in Poverty	% Minority	% Aged 65+	% with Disability	% with No Vehicle	% Youth <18		
5,887	3,272	24.2%	48%	8.8%	12%	4.7%	28.7%		
 Action Integrate with or phase out existing fixed-route service in Hermiston such as the Hermiston Hopper. Work with the Cities of Hermiston, Stanfield, Echo, Port of Morrow, Boardman, Irrigon, and Umatilla to identify specific stop locations that will maximize rider convenience and provide the pedestrian accessibility. Work with Port of Morrow employers and other major employers to identify optimal service times based on employment shift hours. Explore the possibility of a companion shuttle route within the Por of Morrow to provide localized service to major employers. Incorporate planned regional park-n-ride locations as they are implemented. 									
Cost: \$250- \$350k	Time Fram	Time Frame: Near-Term Priority: High Consistent with Morrow/Umatilla County Coordinated Human Services Plans?: Yes							
Implementing	Agency:	Kayak or othe	er service provi	der					
Project Partners: The Loop, City of Hermiston, City of Stanfield City of Echo, Port of Morrow, City of Boardman, City of Irrigon, City of Umatilla, McNary/Port of Umatilla									
			Conceptua	I Route Details	:				
Route A Details - 29-mile one - 8 route stop - 14 trips	e-way - os -	oute B Details: 36-mile one-wa 7 route stops 14 trips	y	Um	Down	cNary	dente Conse		







Port of Morrow Circulator										
Description:	Add a shuttle van that will circulate amongst the various business located throughout the Port of Morrow. The shuttle van will complement the regional fixed route transit lines from Arlington, Heppner, and Hermiston/Umatilla County.									
Benefit:		more localized				nesses not located	within a close			
		Transit Depend	dent Populatio	on Within ½	Mile of Route S	Stops				
Population Served	Jobs 2,000+	% in Poverty -	% Minority	% Aged 65+ -	with Disabi	lity % with No Vehicle	• % Youth <18 -			
Action Items:	 Secure funding and infrastructure to accommodate a shuttle van. Work with the Port of Morrow to investigate the need for expanded pedestrian infrastructure. 									
Cost: \$150- \$200k	Time Frame	e: Long-Term	Priority: l	_ow		th Umatilla Count Iuman Services P				
Implementing	Agency:	The Loop, Por	rt of Morrow, o	r other servio	ce provider					
Project Partne	ers:	Morrow Count	y and Port of I	Morrow Busir	nesses					
Project Partners: Morrow County and Port of Morrow Businesses										



Pendleton-Kennewick Connector									
Description:	Add a weekday fixed-route bus line connecting Mission, City of Pendleton, City of Umatilla, and City of Kennewick. Route would be 153-miles roundtrip and would primarily travel along I-84 and I-82. Stops would include Mission, Pendleton, Stanfield, Westland Road employment cluster, Umatilla, Kennewick								
Benefit:					a significant gap he two largest p				
	•	Transit Deper	ndent Populatio	on Within ½ Mil	e of Route Stop	S			
Population Served 5,775	Jobs 4,032	% in Poverty 26.4%	% Minority 32.8%	% Aged 65+ 10.6%	% with Disability 16.2%	% with No Vehicle 6.9%	% Youth <18 29.8%		
Action Items:	identify sp • Coordinat	becific local route te service with	ute and stop loc proposed Hern	ations that will n	City of Umatilla, a naximize rider co n Connector and oyment cluster to	onvenience. existing Kayak r	outes.		

utes. service ر ر times based on employment shift hours

	umes based on employment shift hours.						
Cost: \$300- \$350k			Priority: High	Consistent with Umatilla County Coordinated Human Services Plan?: Yes			
Implementing Agency: Kayak or other s			ervice provider				
Project Partne	ers.	CTUIR City of P	endleton City of Umat	tilla City of Kennewick			





T	he Loop	– Route	Modifica	tion and	Increased	Frequency	/	
Description:	such as the		Stanfield, Uma	atilla/McNary.	trips to incorporate Increase the frequ veek.			
Benefit: Takes advantage of existing and consistent weekly medical appointments. Shared capacity along with increased frequency can then be used for potential jobs access and reverse commuting.								
	Incre	ased Transit D	ependent Pop	ulation With	in ½ Mile of Route	e Stops		
Population Served	Jobs	% in Poverty	% Minority	% Aged 65+	% with Disability	% with No Vehicle	% Youth <18	
+5,434	+2,611	+1.43%	2.25%	1.05%	0.43%	1.35%	1.15%	
Action Items:	Secure fu	unding to forma	lly staff and dev	velop modified	d route plans.			
Cost: \$150- \$200k	Time Fram	e: Mid-Term	Priority: N		Consistent with M Coordinated Hum			
Implementing	Agency:	Morrow Coun	ty / The Loop					
Project Partne	ers:	Morrow Coun	ty, City of Echo	, City of Stan	field			
		Umatilla/McN 84 Boardman nbing Range	Kennewi Pary Hermiston Echo		wai	a Walla	Um	
		\checkmark						





	Co	unty			Co	unty			
		F	Regional	Park-n-	Ride				
Description:	Formally de	evelop and incor	porate regiona	al park-n-ride	facilities.				
Benefit:						e regional fixed rou n, and environmen			
	Incre	ased Transit D	ependent Pop	oulation Wit	hin ½ Mile of Rou	te Stops			
Population Served	Jobs -	% in Poverty	% Minority -	% Aged 65+ -	% with Disability	% with No Vehicle	% Youth <18 -		
 Park-n-Ride facilities are currently identified in the CTUIR, Pendleton, and Heppner Transportation System Plans. Work with these jurisdictions to accelerate the design/implementation of the park-n-ride facilities Work with the City of Arlington, City of Umatilla, and Umatilla County to acquire land or agreements with land owners for the development of formal park-n-ride facilities. Work with transit providers to incorporate park-n-ride locations into fixed bus routes. 									
Cost: Varies	Time Fram	e: Mid-Term	Priority:	Medium		Morrow/Umatilla man Services Pla			
Implementing	Agency:	CTUIR, City o County	f Pendleton, C	ity of Heppn	er, City of Arlingto	n, City of Umatilla,	Umatilla		
Project Partne	ers:	The Loop, Ka	yak, other serv	vice providers	3				
			Project Lo	cation/Imag	es:				
			Umatilla National Wildlife Refuge	Umatilla Umatilla hemical Depot					
	84		ardman ing Range				Vission		
Arlingtor				207		Penteton	n		

(207)

Heppner

★ Potential Park-n-Ride Locations

+ -

5 mi

Map Source: Remix



	Grant	County I	People M	over In	creased Fre	quency		
Description:	Bescription: Modify the route to include the inclusion of communities/major attractions already in route such as Pendleton (Saint Anthony Hospital, Safeway), Adams Post Office, Athena Post Office, Weston Post Office, and Walla Walla (Walmart, Andy's Market, Walla Walla Transfer Center, Saint Mary's Hospital)							
Benefit:					provements do not cess supplying acc			
	Increa	ased Transit D	ependent Pop	ulation With	hin ½ Mile of Rout	e Stops		
Population Served	Jobs	% in Poverty	% Minority	% Aged 65+	% with Disability	% with No Vehicle	% Youth <18	
+11,352	+6,424	+4.1%	2.6%	0.8%	3.3%	4.8%	2.7%	
Action Items:	Secure fu	inding to forma	lly staff and de	velop modifie	ed route plans.			
Cost: \$300k	Time Frame	e: Mid-Term	Priority: N	Vledium	Consistent with Coordinated Hur		n?: Yes	
Implementing	Agency:	Grant County	People Mover					
Project Partne	ers:	Kayak, City o	f Pendleton, Ci	ty of Athena,	City of Weston, Ci	ty of Walla Walla		
Endomdale	C .	errente Autoret Autoret Autoret Autoret Verrente Autoret Au	Athen Adams Jleton 1 Pilot Ukiah Dale	a Westor	Wallawater h-Freewater	a B B B B B B B B B B B B B	ereret Source: Remix	



Create or Maintain a Transportation Coordinator Position									
Description:	Create or maintain a county-wide transportation coordinator position that will be responsible for identifying transit funding opportunities, writing funding grants, and coordinating opportunities to enhance regional transit connections.								
Benefit:	Ensures bet	ter local and re	egional transit c	oordination.					
	Increa	ased Transit D	ependent Pop	ulation Wit	hin 1	1/2 Mile of Route	Stops		
Population Served	Jobs -	% in Poverty -	% Minority -	% Aged 65+ -	÷	% with Disability -	% with No Vehicle -	% Youth <18 -	
Action Items:	Maintain	or secure fundi	ng to staff the t	ransportatio	n co	ordinator positio	n.		
Cost: < \$100k	Time Frame	e: Near-Term	Priority:	High	Consistent with Umatilla County Coordinated Human Services Plan?: Yes				
Implementing	Agency:	Morrow Coun	ty and Umatilla	County					
Project Partne	ers:	-							
	Form o	r Maintai	n Approp	oriate A	dv	visory Com	mittees		
Description:	Assesses ar	nd disperses tra	ansit funding.						
Benefit:	Ensures bet	ter County-wid	e participation	in transit dec	cisio	n making.			
	Increa	ased Transit D	ependent Pop	ulation Wit	hin 1	1/2 Mile of Route	Stops		
Population Served	Jobs -	% in Poverty -	% Minority -	% Aged 65+ -	-	% with Disability -	% with No Vehicle -	% Youth <18 -	
Action Items:	Form or maintain appropriate advisory committees								
Cost: < \$50k	Time Frame: Near-Term Priority: High Consistent with Umatilla County Coordinated Human Services Plan?: Yes						n?: Yes		
Implementing	Agency:	Morrow Coun	ty and Umatilla	County					
Project Partne	ers:	Implementing Agency: Morrow County and Umatilla County Project Partners: -							